

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Kenneth M. Rose; David S. Walker; Michael A. Benning;  
Mick R. Jacobs  
Assignee: Cisco Technology, Inc.  
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SWITCHING LOW  
LATENCY HIGH PRIORITY TRAFFIC  
Application No.: 10/602,307 Filing Date: June 24, 2003  
Examiner: Andrew Chung Cheung Lee Group Art Unit: 2419  
Docket No.: CIS0199US Confirmation No.: 9007

---

Austin, Texas  
November 24, 2009

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR REVIEW OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)**

Dear Sir:

Applicant petitions for a review and correction of the patent term adjustment (PTA) that has been calculated for the above-noted application. As explained below, Applicant submits that the calculated PTA of 906 days is incorrect, and that in accordance with *Wyeth v. Dudas*, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138 (D.D.C. 2008), the correct PTA for the present application is 1134 days.

A Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee(s) Due was mailed on August 24, 2009 (the "Notice of Allowance") for the present application. The Notice of Allowance set a due date of November 24, 2009 for payment of the issue fee. This petition is timely submitted along with or prior to the issue fee, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b).

The Notice of Allowance included a Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b). According to that determination, the PTA for the present application is 906 days. This calculation is explained on the Office's PAIR web site, which includes an outline of the Office's calculation. A copy of that calculation is attached.

In accordance with the 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)(2), Applicant states the following.

- (i) The correct patent term adjustment for the present application is **1134 days**. This correction follows from the correct assessment of days that “overlap” in the calculation of PTA under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A). *See Wyeth v. Dudas*, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138 (D.D.C. 2008).
- (ii a) The period of adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(a) is **941 days** beginning on the day after August 24, 2004 (the date that is fourteen months after March 24, 2003, which is the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. § 111(a)) and ending on March 23, 2007 (the date of mailing of an action under 35 U.S.C. § 132).
- (ii b) The period of adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(b) is **500 days**, beginning on the day after June 24, 2006 (the date that is three years after March 24, 2003, which is the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a)) and ending on November 6, 2007 (the date before Applicant’s first Request for Continued Examination under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) was received and filed in the Office records).
- (ii c) No period of adjustment is applied in the present application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(c), since there was no delay due to an interference.
- (ii d) No period of adjustment is applied in the present application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(d), since there was no delay due to a seal or to a secrecy order.
- (ii e) No period of adjustment is applied in the present application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(e), since there was no delay due to an appeal.
- (ii f) The periods of adjustment in (ii a) and (ii b) include an overlap, which is to be deducted from the sum of the adjustment periods under 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(f). From the above statements, it is clear that the calendar days that actually overlap is the calendar period of **272 days**, beginning on the day after June 24, 2006 and ending on March 23, 2007.

Section 1.703(f) provides that “[t]he term of a patent entitled to adjustment under § 1.702 and this section shall be adjusted for the sum of the periods calculated under paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section, to the extent that such periods are not overlapping.” This sum is  $941 + 500$  days, less the 272-day period of overlap, resulting in 1169 days.

(Section 1.703(f) further provides that this period should be further adjusted “less the sum of the periods calculated under § 1.704.” This reduction is discussed below.)

- (iii) The present application is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.
- (iv) The following periods of time, which total 35 days, are deemed under the Office’s procedures to constitute “Applicant Delay” from deemed failures to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination under 37 C.F.R. § 704.
  - \* The 33-day period from the day after March 12, 2008 (three months after the Office action mailed on December 12, 2007) to April 14, 2008, when Applicant’s response was received at the Office.
  - \* The 2-day period from the day after May 9, 2008 (three months after the Office action mailed on February 9, 2008) to May 11, 2008, when Applicant’s response was received at the Office.

The sum of these periods under § 1.704, as reflected in the attached calculation from the Office’s PAIR web site, is  $33 + 2 = 35$  days. The reduction under § 1.703(f) thus leads to a final PTA calculation of  $1169 - 35 = 1134$  days.

Applicant believes that the disparity between the above calculation and the attached calculation from the Office’s PAIR web site arises from the Office’s current practice of considering “overlap” days. Applicant understands that the Office considers the entire period of 500 days under § 1.703(b) to “overlap” with the period of 941 days under 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(a). In *Wyeth*, however, the District Court of the District of Columbia held that that approach is in conflict with the statutory language in 35 U.S.C. § 154.

Under the correct calculation, as described by the *Wyeth* court, only the 272 calendar days that are actually in common between these two periods are considered to “overlap” under § 154. The disparity in the count of overlap days (500 days vs. 272 days, which is a difference of 228 days) leads to the 228-day error between the Office’s calculation of 906 days of PTA and the correct calculation of 1134 days, as detailed above.

In view of this error, **Applicant respectfully requests that the calculated patent term adjustment for the present application be corrected to indicate 1134 days of patent term adjustment.** The undersigned hereby authorizes that the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e), and any other fees required for this submission, be charged to deposit account no. 502306.

Respectfully submitted,



Shawn Doman  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 60,362  
Telephone: (512) 439-5092  
Facsimile: (512) 439-5099



United States Patent and Trademark Office

[Home](#) | [Site Index](#) | [Search](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Glossary](#) | [Guides](#) | [Contacts](#) | [eBusiness](#) | [eBiz Alerts](#) | [News](#) | [Help](#)[Portal Home](#) | [Patents](#) | [Trademarks](#) | [Other](#) | [Sign-Off Authenticated Session](#)

Patent eBusiness



Secured Patent Application Information Retrieval

[Electronic Filing](#)  
[Patent Application Information \(PAIR\)](#)  
[Patent Ownership](#)  
[Fees](#)  
[Supplemental Resources & Support](#)

Download

[Order Certified Application As Filed](#) [Order Certified File Wrapper](#) [View Order List](#)

10/602,307

## SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SWITCHING HIGH PRIORITY TRAFFIC WITH LOW LATENCY



Select New Case	Applications by Attorney Docket	Application Data	Transaction History	Image File Wrapper	Patent Term Adjustments	Address & Attorney/Agent	Assignments	Display References	Publication Review
-----------------	---------------------------------	------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-------------	--------------------	--------------------

## Patent Term Adjustment

Filing or 371(c) Date:	06-24-2003	USPTO Delay (PTO) Delay (days):	941
Issue Date of Patent:	-	Three Years:	-
Pre-Issue Petitions (days):	+0	Applicant Delay (APPL) Delay (days):	35
Post-Issue Petitions (days):	+0	Total Patent Term Adjustment (days):	906
USPTO Adjustment (days):	+0	Explanation Of Calculations	

## Patent Term Adjustment History

Date	Contents Description	PTO(Days)	APPL(Days)
08-24-2009	Mail Notice of Allowance		
08-21-2009	Document Verification		
08-21-2009	Notice of Allowance Data Verification Completed		
08-17-2009	Examiner's Amendment Communication		
06-10-2009	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
05-11-2009	Response after Non-Final Action		2
02-09-2009	Mail Non-Final Rejection		↑
02-04-2009	Non-Final Rejection		
11-19-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
10-09-2008	Response after Non-Final Action		
10-05-2008	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU		
07-09-2008	Mail Non-Final Rejection		
07-07-2008	Non-Final Rejection		
04-16-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
04-14-2008	Response after Non-Final Action		33
04-14-2008	Request for Extension of Time - Granted		↑
12-12-2007	Mail Non-Final Rejection		↑
12-10-2007	Non-Final Rejection		
11-08-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
11-08-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
11-07-2007	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)		
11-08-2007	DISPOSAL FOR A RCE/CPA/129 (express abandonment if CPA)		
11-07-2007	Workflow - Request for RCE - Begin		
10-04-2007	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU		
08-07-2007	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)		
08-06-2007	Final Rejection		
06-16-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
06-11-2007	Response after Non-Final Action		
03-23-2007	Mail Non-Final Rejection	941	
03-19-2007	Non-Final Rejection	↑	
02-21-2007	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	↑	
03-21-2006	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	↑	
01-27-2006	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	↑	
07-28-2005	Miscellaneous Incoming Letter	↑	
01-26-2005	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	↑	
09-22-2004	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	↑	
05-26-2004	IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete	↑	
05-26-2004	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	↑	
05-17-2004	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	↑	
04-08-2004	Correspondence Address Change	↑	
04-07-2004	Correspondence Address Change	↑	
03-22-2004	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	↑	
09-12-2003	Application Return from OIPE	↑	
09-12-2003	Application Return TO OIPE	↑	
09-11-2003	Application Dispatched from OIPE	↑	

[Search Patents & Applications](#)[Search Biological Sequences](#)[Copies, Products & Services](#)

Other

[Copyrights](#)  
[Trademarks](#)  
[Policy & Law](#)  
[Reports](#)

09-12-2003	Application Is Now Complete	↑
06-24-2003	PGPubs nonPub Request	↑
08-28-2003	Cleared by OIPE CSR	↑
08-14-2003	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review	↑
06-24-2003	Initial Exam Team nn	↑

---

*If you need help:*

- *Call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197 (toll free) or e-mail [EBC@uspto.gov](mailto:EBC@uspto.gov) for specific questions about Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR).*
- *Send general questions about USPTO programs to the USPTO Contact Center (UCC) .*
- *If you experience technical difficulties or problems with this application, please report them via e-mail to [Electronic Business Support](#) or call 1 800-786-9199.*

You can suggest USPTO webpages or material you would like featured on this section by E-mail to the [webmaster@uspto.gov](mailto:webmaster@uspto.gov). While we cannot promise to accommodate all requests, your suggestions will be considered and may lead to other improvements on the website.

---

[Home](#) | [Site Index](#) | [Search](#) | [eBusiness](#) | [Help](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)